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#### Abstract

: In the present investigation, 1.0 M urea solution was employed as hydrotropic solubilizing agent to solubilize poorly water-soluble drug paracetamol for its spectrophotometric analysis. The proposed method is new, simple, environmentally friendly, accurate, reproducible, precise and validated statistically for simultaneous estimation of paracetamol and diclofenac sodium in bulk drug and tablet dosage form. Simultaneous equation method, absorption ratio method and dual wavelength method have been used in the estimation of both the drugs. All the three methods show good accuracy and precision which was validated statistically. The optimized methods showed good reproducibility and recovery with standard deviation of $<1.0 \%$ and percent relative standard deviation less then $2.0 \%$, standard error in case of recovery studies are satisfactorily low and allow the simultaneous estimation of paracetamol and diclofenac sodium in concentration ranges employed for this purpose in the assay of bulk drug and tablets. It is, thus, concluded that the proposed method is new, simple, cost-effective, safe, accurate, precise and environmentally friendly. This method can be successfully employed in the routine analysis of both the drugs in tablet dosage form.
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## Introduction:

Chemically Paracetamol (PA) is 4 -hydroxy acetanilide, has analgesic and antipyretic activity[1],[2]. Literature survey revealed that chromatographic method was reported for its estimation from tablet formulation [3],[4] and spectroscopic methods for estimation in combined dosage forms[5]. Diclofenac Sodium(DS) is chemically Sodium salt of 2-[\{2,6-dichlorophenyl $\}$ amino] benzene acetic acid. It is having anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties [1],[2]. Literature survey revealed that chromatographic method was reported for its estimation from tablet dosage form [6] and spectroscopic methods for estimation in combine dosage forms [7]. But so far no spectrophotometric methods has been reported for simultaneous estimation of PA and DS in combined dosage form, hence an attempt has been made to develop simple, sensitive, economical, rapid, precise and accurate methods to analyze the drugs simultaneously, for this, three spectrophotometric methods simultaneous equation method, absorption ratio
method and dual wavelength method have been developed for simultaneous estimation of PA and DS in bulk and tablet dosage form. There was marked enhancement in the solubility of PA in 1.0 M urea solution (hydrotropic agent) as compared to the solubility in distilled water and buffer solutions. Therefore, it was thought worthwhile to extract out the drug from fine powder of tablets with 1.0 M urea solution to carryout the spectrophotometric analysis.

## Experimental:

## Materials and Methods:

UV-Visible double beam spectrophotometer, Shimadzu model-1700 having spectral bandwidth 3 nm and of wavelength accuracy $\pm 1 \mathrm{~nm}$, with 1 cm quartz cells was used. Pure sample of PA and DS was obtained as gift sample from Zenith Pharma Ltd., Indore (MP). The tablet dosage form, Diclogesic (contain PA 500 mg , DS 50 Mg ) was procured from the local market,Indore,India. 1.0 M urea was selected as hydrotropic solubilizing agent. All other material used was of analytical reagent grade.

Vierordt's simultaneous equation method ( Method A):
For selection of analytical wavelength for Simultaneous Equation Method [8] the wavelength 247 nm ( $\lambda$ max of PA) and 276 nm ( $\lambda$ max of DS) was selected (Figure 1 and 2). The absorbencies of PA and DS were measured at 247 nm and 276 nm . This method of analysis is based on the absorption of drugs X and Y at the wavelength maxima of the other. The quantification analysis of PA and DS in a binary mixture were performed by using Eqn-1 and Eqn-2. Where Cx and Cy are the concentrations of PA and DS respectively in the diluted sample, $\mathrm{ax}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ax}_{2}$ are absorptivities of PA at $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ having values 317.80 and 194.00, $\mathrm{ay}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ay}_{2}$ are absorptivities of DS at $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ having values 144.70 and 304.10 respectively. $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ are the absorbances of samples at the 247 and 276 nm respectively

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{X}=A_{2} a y_{1}-A_{1} a y_{2} / a x_{2} a y_{1}-a x_{1} a y_{2} & \text { Eqn.1 } \\
C_{Y}=A_{1} a x_{2}-A_{2} a x_{1} / a x_{2} a y_{1}-a x_{1} a y_{2} & \text { Eqn. }
\end{array}
$$



Figure 1: Absorbance graph of PA.


Figure 2: Absorbance graph of DS.

## Graphical absorbance ratio Q-Analysis method (Method B):

In absorption ratio method [9], absorbances of both the drugs were calculated at two selected wavelengths; among which $\lambda_{1}$ is the wavelength of isoabsorptive point of both drugs and $\lambda_{2}$ is the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ of either drug among both drugs. From the overlain spectra (Figure 3) wavelength 268 (isoabsorption point) and 276( $\lambda$ max of DS) were selected for study. The absorbencies at 268 nm and 276 nm for DS were obtained and similarly for PA absorbencies are measured at 268 nm and 247 nm . The concentration of the individual components were calculated by using the following equations; $\mathrm{Cx}=\mathrm{Qm}-\mathrm{Qy} / \mathrm{Qx}-\mathrm{Qy}) \times \mathrm{A}_{1}$ /ax ${ }_{1}(\text { Eqn.3), Cy }=\mathrm{Qm}-\mathrm{Qy} / \mathrm{Qy}-\mathrm{Qx})^{\times \mathrm{A}_{1}} / \mathrm{ax}$ ${ }_{1}$ (Eqn.4) where $\mathrm{Qm}=\mathrm{A}_{2} / \mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ is absorbance of sample at isoabsorptive point, $A_{2}$ is absorbance of sample at $\lambda$ max of one of the two components, $\mathrm{Qx}=\mathrm{ax}_{2} / \mathrm{ax}_{1}, \mathrm{Qy}=\mathrm{ay}_{2} / \mathrm{ay}_{1}, \mathrm{ax}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ax}_{2}$ represent absorptivities of PA at $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ and ay ${ }_{1}$ and ay ${ }_{2}$ denote absorptivities of DS at $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ respectively; Cx and Cy be the concentration of PA and DS respectively.


Figure 3: Overlain spectra of $P A$ and DS

## Dual wavelength spectrophotometry (Method C):

In this method [10],[11], two wavelengths were selected for each drug in a way so that the difference in absorption is zero for one drug at a time. As per spectrum, we found that absorption of PA was same at 245 and 249 nm so that these wavelengths were selected for estimation of DS and same as in 257 and

294 nm , absorption of DS were same hence these two wavelengths were selected for estimation of PA. All the mixed standard were scanned at these selected wavelengths and a calibration curve was plotted between absorbance difference and the respective concentrations. The value of coefficient of correlation was 0.9989 and 0.9991 for PA and DS respectively. The sample solutions were measured at selected wavelengths and the values of difference in absorbance were extrapolated on the working standard curve to get the concentration.

## Preliminary solubility studies of drugs: [12]

Solubility of both drugs was determined at $28 \pm$ $2{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$. An excess amount of drug was added to two screw capped 30 ml glass vials containing different aqueous systems viz distilled water, buffer of pH 6.4 , buffer of pH 8.2 , and 1.0 M urea. The vials were shaken mechanically for 12 h at $28+1^{\circ}$ in a mechanical shaker. These solutions were allowed to equilibrate for next 24 h and then centrifuged for 5 min at 2000 rpm. The supernatant liquid was taken for appropriate dilution after filtered through whatmann filter paper \# 41 and analyzed spectrophotometrically against corresponding solvent blank. After analysis, it was found that the enhancement in the solubility of PA and DS was found to be more than 60 and 10 folds respectively in 1.0 M urea as compared to solubility studies in other solvents.

## Preparation of standard stock solution and calibration curves of PA and DS:

About 50 mg each of PA and DS were accurately weighted and transferred to 50 ml of volumetric flask separately. $40 \mathrm{ml}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ urea was used to solubilize after shaking for 10 to 15 minutes. Rest of the volume was made up with distilled water to get solution of $1000 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Stock solutions of $100 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of each drugs were prepared by further dilution and scanned over the range of $400 \mathrm{~nm}-200 \mathrm{~nm}$ in the spectrum mode to get the overlain spectra of both drugs. The spectra exhibit major absorbance maxima at 247 nm and 276 nm for PA and DS respectively and isobestic point at

268 nm (Figure 3). In two wave length method absorption difference at 245 and 249,257 and 294 were calculated and plot calibration curve against concentration to get the linearity of both drugs. Beers-Lambert law obeyed in the range of $5-35 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $5-40 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ for PA and DS respectively. Five mixed standards $5,10,15,20,25,30$ for PA; and $30,25,20,15,10,5$ for DS were prepared from stock solutions of PA and DS for further study.

## Analysis of Tablet Formulation:

Twenty tablets (brand name- Diclogesic) were taken and their average weight was determined, they were crushed to fine powder. Then powder equivalent to 50 mg ( 74.96 mg ) of PA was taken in 50 ml volumetric flask and $40 \mathrm{ml}, 1.0$ M urea was used to solubilize after shaking for 10 to 15 minutes. Rest of the volume was made up with distilled water to get solution of $1000 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Stock solutions of $100 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of each drugs were prepared by further dilution. The supernatant liquid was transferred to 50 ml of volumetric flask through a whatman No-41 filter paper. The residue was washed twice with water and the combined filtrate was made up to 50 ml mark with water. The above solution was further diluted to get a solution containing 20 $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of PA and $2 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of DS. The above binary mixture was analyzed at appropriate wavelengths and values of the absorptions were substituted in the respective formulas (Eqn.1,2,3,4) to obtain the content of PA and DS. In two wave length method the sample solution was scanned at $247 \mathrm{~nm}, 276 \mathrm{~nm}, 268$ $\mathrm{nm}, 276 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the concentration of PA and DS was determined from their calibration curve plotted between absorption difference and concentration. The results of analysis were given in Table 1.

## Recovery studies:

To check the accuracy of the developed methods and to study the interference of formulation additives, analytical recovery experiments was carried out by standard addition method. From that total amount of drug found and percentage recovery was calculated. The results were reported in Table 2.

Table 1: Result of pharmaceutical formulation analysis

| Parameters | Method-A |  | Method-B |  | Method-C |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PA | DS | PA | DS | PA | $\boldsymbol{D S}$ |
| Label claim (mg/Tab) | 500 | 50 | 500 | 50 | 500 | 50 |
| Found (mg/Tab) | 500.01 | 49.11 | 500.03 | 50.75 | 498.13 | 51.34 |
| Drug content $^{\text {a }}$ | 100.70 | 99.54 | 100.05 | 100.29 | 99.72 | 101.90 |
| $\pm$ S.D | 0.230 | 0.197 | 0.222 | 0.642 | 0.320 | 0.586 |
| \%COV | 0.187 | 0.120 | 0.487 | 0.290 | 0.394 | 0.455 |
| SE | 0.311 | 0.332 | 0.339 | 0.508 | 0.228 | 0.629 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Value for drug content (\%) are the mean of five estimation
Method-A: Vierordt's simultaneous equation method
Method-B: Graphical absorbance ratio Q-Analysis method
Method-C: Dual wavelength spectrophotometry.
S.D: Standard deviation, COV : Coefficient of variance and S.E : Standard error.

Table 2: Result of Recovery studies

| Method | Drug | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Labelclaim } \\ \text { (mg/tab) }\end{array}$ | Amount (mg/ml) |  | taken | added |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$)$ COV\% | \% |
| :---: |
|  |

[^0]Table 3: Intraday , Interdays , LOD and LOQ data of tablet formulation

| Method | Drug | Intraday precision $\% \operatorname{COV}(n=3)$ | Interday precision |  | \%COV | $\begin{gathered} L O D \\ (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L O Q \\ (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Day $1^{\text {a }}$ | Day $2^{\text {a }}$ | Day 3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Method A | PA | 0.390 | 0.225 | 0.277 | 0.154 | 0.667 | . 990 |
|  | DS | 0.190 | 0.210 | 0.361 | 0.086 | 0.204 | 1.201 |
| Method B | PA | 0.112 | 0.178 | 0.139 | 0.115 | 0.102 | 1.006 |
|  | DS | 0.202 | 0.522 | 0.114 | 0.102 | 0.239 | 0.621 |
| Method C | PA | 0.343 | 0.319 | 0.101 | 0.213 | 0.575 | 0.917 |
|  | DS | 0.177 | 0.509 | 0.211 | 0.513 | 0.300 | 1.017 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Mean of five determinations, COV is coefficient of variance, LOD is least of detection, and LOQ is least of quantitation.

Validation of the developed methods: [13],[14]
The developed methods for simultaneous estimation of PA and DS were validated as per ICH guidelines.
Accuracy:To check the accuracy of the developed methods and to study the interference of formulation additives, analytical recovery experiments was carried out by standard addition method. Total amount of drug found and percentage recovery was calculated and results were reported in Table 2.
Precision:Precision of the method was verified by repeatability and intermediate precision studies.
Repeatability:To check the degree of repeatability of the methods, suitable statistical evaluation was carried out. Five samples of the tablet formulations were analyzed for the repeatability study. The standard deviation, coefficient of variance and standard error was calculated. The results were reported in Table 1.
Intermediate precision (inter-day and intraday precision):Intermediate precision of the method was checked by assay the sample solution on same day at an interval of one hour (intraday precision) for three hours and on three different days (interday precision) the result was reported in Table 3. This study indicates
that the solutions can be analyzed within 48-72 $h$ without having any bad effect on chemical stability of the drug in presence of urea.
Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantitation (LOQ):The LOD and LOQ were separately determined based on the standard deviation of response of the calibration curve. The standard deviation of Y-intercept and slope of the calibration curves were used to calculate the LOD and LOQ by using the equations $3.3 \sigma / \mathrm{s}$ for LOD and $10 \sigma / \mathrm{s}$ for LOQ, where $\sigma$ stands for standard deviation of Y-intercept and S stands for slope of the calibration curve. The results of the same were given in Table 3.

## Results and Discussion:

The mean percent drug estimated was 100.16 and 100.57 for PA and DS respectively indicating the accuracy of the proposed analytical method. Mean Standard deviation for PA and DS was found to be 0.257 and 0.475 respectively. Mean Percent coefficient of variation for PA and DS was found to be 0.356 and 0.288 respectively. Mean standard error for PA and DS was found to be 0.291 and 0.482 respectively(Table 1). The low values of these statistical parameters validated the method. The values of mean percent recoveries for PA and DS was 100.11 and 99.56 respectively(Table 2). This fact, together with satisfactorily low values of statistical parameters (Table 3),
further validated the method. There was no interference of urea in the estimation. The proposed method can be successfully employed in the routine analysis of PA and DS containing dosage forms.

## Conclusion:

From Table 1, it is evident that there is good agreement between the amounts estimated and those claimed by the manufacturers. Percent label claims are very close to 100 with low values of standard deviation, \% coefficient of variation and standard error. Thus, it may be concluded that the proposed method is new, simple, eco-friendly and may prove to be of great importance in pharmaceutical analysis.
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[^0]:    \%Recovery is mean of three estimation,
    Method-A: Vierordt's simultaneous equation method,
    Method-B: Graphical absorbance ratio Q-Analysis method,
    Method-C: Dual wavelength spectrophotometry
    S.D is standard deviation and COV is coefficient of variance.

